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## Brief History of $A_{4}$

In 1978 (37 years ago), soon after the putative discovery of the third family of leptons and quarks, it was conjectured independently by Cabibbo and Wolfenstein:

$$
U_{l \nu}=U_{\omega}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\omega=\exp (2 \pi i / 3)=-1 / 2+i \sqrt{3} / 2$. In the PDG convention, this implies $s_{23}=c_{23}=1 / \sqrt{2}, s_{12}=c_{12}=1 / \sqrt{2}$, $s_{13}=1 / \sqrt{3}, c_{13}=\sqrt{2 / 3}$, and $\delta=\pi / 2$. If $\omega \leftrightarrow \omega^{2}$, then $\delta=-\pi / 2$.

In 2001 (14 years ago), without knowing about Cabibbo and Wolfenstein, $U_{\omega}$ was discovered by Ma and Rajasekaran in the context of $A_{4}$.
This non-Abelian discrete symmetry has 12 elements and 4 irreducible representations: $\underline{1}, \underline{1}^{\prime}, \underline{1}^{\prime \prime}, \underline{3}$. Using

$$
\underline{3} \times \underline{3}=\underline{1}+\underline{1}^{\prime}+\underline{1}^{\prime \prime}+\underline{3}+\underline{3} .
$$

the following decompositions are obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{1}=11+22+33, \\
& \underline{1}^{\prime}=11+\omega 22+\omega^{2} 33, \\
& \underline{1}^{\prime \prime}=1+\omega^{2} 22+\omega 33 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(\nu, l)_{i} \sim \underline{3}, l_{i}^{c} \sim \underline{1}, \underline{1}^{\prime}, \underline{1}^{\prime \prime}$, and $\Phi_{i} \sim \underline{3}$, then

$$
\mathcal{M}_{l}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{e} v_{1}^{*} & f_{\mu} v_{1}^{*} & f_{\tau} v_{1}^{*} \\
f_{e} v_{2}^{*} & f_{\mu} \omega v_{2}^{*} & f_{\tau} \omega^{2} v_{2}^{*} \\
f_{e} v_{3}^{*} & f_{\mu} \omega^{2} v_{3}^{*} & f_{\tau} \omega v_{3}^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & v_{2}^{*} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & v_{3}^{*}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{e} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & f_{\mu} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & f_{\tau}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $v_{1}=v_{2}=v_{3}$, a residual $Z_{3}$ symmetry exists with $U_{\omega}^{\dagger}$ as the link between $\mathcal{M}_{l}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$.

For many years, theoretical effort was focused on obtaining a specific form of $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$ so that tribimaximal neutrino mixing is realized:

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{T B M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqrt{2 / 3} & 1 / \sqrt{3} & 0 \\
-1 / \sqrt{6} & 1 / \sqrt{3} & -1 / \sqrt{2} \\
-1 / \sqrt{6} & 1 / \sqrt{3} & 1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right)= \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega \\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / \sqrt{2} & -1 / \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 1 / \sqrt{2} & 1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & i
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\nu}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
m_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left(m_{1}-m_{3}\right) / 2 & \left(m_{1}+m_{3}\right) / 2 \\
0 & \left(m_{1}+m_{3}\right) / 2 & \left(m_{1}-m_{3}\right) / 2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Pioneer $A_{4}$ papers: Ma/Rajasekaran(2001), $\mathrm{Ma}(2002)$, Babu/Ma/Valle(2003), Ma(2004), Altarelli/Feruglio(2005), Babu/He(2005).
This $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$ is very hard to obtain in the context of a four-dimensional renormalizable field theory, because of the basic clash (or misalignment) of the residual symmetries ( $Z_{3}$ for $\mathcal{M}_{l}$ and $Z_{2}$ for $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$ ) [Lam]

On March 8, 2012, Daya Bay announced that $\theta_{13}$ had been measured at $8.8^{\circ}$, thus ending tribimaximal mixing. The 2014 PDG values are: $\sin ^{2}\left(2 \theta_{12}\right)=0.846 \pm 0.021$, $\Delta m_{21}^{2}=(7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, $\sin ^{2}\left(2 \theta_{23}\right)=0.999(+0.001 /-0.018)$,
$\Delta m_{32}^{2}=(2.44 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ (normal), $\sin ^{2}\left(2 \theta_{23}\right)=1.000(+0.000 /-0.017)$,
$\Delta m_{32}^{2}=(2.52 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ (inverted), $\sin ^{2}\left(2 \theta_{13}\right)=(9.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$.
In retrospect, the $Z_{3}-Z_{2}$ clash should have been a warning against tribimaximal mixing.

## Special Form of $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$

$\mathrm{Ma}(2002), \mathrm{Babu} / \mathrm{Ma} /$ Valle(2003), Grimus/Lavoura(2004):
A special form of the neutrino mass matrix (in the basis where the charged-lepton mass matrix is diagonal) was written down 13 years ago, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\nu}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & C & C^{*} \\
C & D^{*} & B \\
C^{*} & B & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A, B$ are real.

This allows $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ and yet $\theta_{23}=\pi / 4$ is maintained, together with the prediction that $\delta_{C P}= \pm \pi / 2$. This pattern is protected by a symmetry, i.e. $e \rightarrow e$ and $\mu \leftrightarrow \tau$ exchange with $C P$ conjugation. Present T2K data with input from reactor data indicate a preference for $\delta_{C P}=-\pi / 2$.
Note that this special form predicts that $\left|U_{\mu i}\right|=\left|U_{\tau i}\right|$. This harkens back to the original $U_{\omega}$ of 1978, where indeed this is satisfied. It is strongly suggestive that $U_{\omega}$ itself must have something to do with the realization of this special form of $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$.

Since 2012, many authors have incorporated this generalized $C P$ transformation into non-Abelian discrete symmetries (some rather complicated) to pin down the other angles, i.e. $\theta_{12}$ and $\theta_{13}$.
See for example: Mohapatra/Nishi(2012), Holthausen/Lindner/Schmidt(2013),
Feruglio/Hagedorn/Ziegler(2013, 2014),
Chen/Fallbacher/Mahanthappa/Ratz/Trautner(2014), Hagedorn/Meroni/Molinaro(2014),
Ding/King/Neder(2014).
Typical result links $\theta_{12}$ with $\theta_{13}$.

## $A_{4}$ Bounces Back

Whereas tribimaximal mixing is dead, $A_{4}$ is not. In fact, two papers appeared just recently:
X.-G. He, arXiv:1504.01560; E. Ma, arXiv:1504.02086; which use $A_{4}$ to obtain $\theta_{23}=\pi / 4$ and $\delta_{C P}=-\pi / 2$, and in the case of the former, also $\sin ^{2} \theta_{12}=1 / 3$.

This talk is on the latter paper which also addresses the issue of dark matter and the apparent one Higgs boson of electroweak symmetry breaking.

The first observation is that if $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$ is somehow purely real in the $A_{4}$ basis, then

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{(e, \mu, \tau)}=U_{\omega}^{\dagger}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & c & e \\
c & d & b \\
e & b & f
\end{array}\right) U_{\omega}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & C & C^{*} \\
C & D^{*} & B \\
C^{*} & B & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A=(a+2 b+2 c+d+2 e+f) / 3$,
$B=(a-b-c+d-e+f) / 3$,
$C=\left(a-b-\omega c+\omega^{2} d-\omega^{2} e+\omega f\right) / 3$,
$D=\left(a+2 b+2 \omega c+\omega^{2} d+2 \omega^{2} e+\omega f\right) / 3$.
The special form of $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$ is thus automatically obtained.

The Majorana neutrino mass matrix is in general complex, so how does one guarantee it to be real? The answer was already there in a radiative inverse seesaw model of neutrino mass
[Fraser/Ma/Popov(2014), Ma/Natale/Popov(2015)], where the origin of the neutrino mass matrix is that of a real scalar mass-squared matrix.
Actually, to obtain $\left|U_{\mu i}\right|=\left|U_{\tau i}\right|$, all that is required is

$$
U_{l \nu}=U_{\omega}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is a real orthogonal matrix.

## Radiative Neutrino Mass with Dark Matter

 Under $A_{4}$, let the three families of leptons transform as$$
\left(\nu_{i}, l_{i}\right)_{L} \sim \underline{3}, \quad l_{i R} \sim \underline{1}, \underline{1}^{\prime}, \underline{1}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Add the following new particles, all assumed odd under an exactly conserved discrete $Z_{2}$ (dark) symmetry, whereas all SM particles are even:

$$
\left(E^{0}, E^{-}\right)_{L, R} \sim \underline{1}, \quad N_{L, R} \sim \underline{1}, \quad s_{i} \sim \underline{3},
$$

where $\left(E^{0}, E^{-}\right)$is a fermion doublet, $N$ a neutral fermion singlet, and $s_{1,2,3}$ are real neutral scalar singlets.


The linkage of neutrino mass to dark matter provides an important clue to the scale of new physics. It is a possible answer to the Question: Is the new physics responsible for neutrino mass also responsible for some other phenomenon in particle physics and astrophysics? Here the Answer is yes, and it is dark matter. Since dark matter is mostly assumed to be a Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP), its mass scale is reasonably set at 1 TeV . This is the crucial missing piece of information which allows us to expect observable new physics related to both dark matter and neutrino mass at the LHC.

The mass matrix linking $\left(\bar{N}_{L}, \bar{E}_{L}^{0}\right)$ to $\left(N_{R}, E_{R}^{0}\right)$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{N, E}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
m_{N} & m_{D} \\
m_{F} & m_{E}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $m_{N}, m_{E}$ are invariant mass terms, and $m_{D}, m_{F}$ come from the respective Higgs couplings with $\left\langle\phi^{0}\right\rangle=v / \sqrt{2}$. As a result, $N$ and $E^{0}$ mix to form two Dirac fermions of masses $m_{1,2}$ with mixing angles $m_{D} m_{E}+m_{F} m_{N}=\sin \theta_{L} \cos \theta_{L}\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)$, $m_{D} m_{N}+m_{F} m_{E}=\sin \theta_{R} \cos \theta_{R}\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)$.
The mass terms ( $m_{L, R} / 2$ ) $N_{L, R} N_{L, R}$ also exist.

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{\nu}=f^{2} m_{R} s_{R}^{2} c_{R}^{2}\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{k^{2}}{\left(k^{2}-m_{s}^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
+f^{2} m_{L} m_{1}^{2} s_{R}^{2} c_{L}^{2} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{s}^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
+f^{2} m_{L} m_{2}^{2} s_{L}^{2} c_{R}^{2} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{s}^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
-2 f^{2} m_{L} m_{1} m_{2} s_{L} s_{R} c_{L} c_{R} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{s}^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $s$ is a mass eigenstate. If $A_{4}$ is unbroken, then $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$ is proportional to the identity matrix. However, if $A_{4}$ is
softly broken by the necessarily real $s_{i} s_{j}$ terms, then

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\nu}=\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
m_{\nu 1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m_{\nu 2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & m_{\nu 3}
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{O}^{T}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Whereas $f, m_{L}, m_{R}$ may be complex, only the relative phase between $m_{L}$ and $m_{R}$ appears in the two relative intrinsic Majorana phases of the neutrino mass eigenstates from the different $s$ masses. Thus the desired form of $U_{l \nu}$ is obtained with $\theta_{23}=\pi / 4$ and $\delta_{C P}= \pm \pi / 2$, once $U_{\omega}$ is applied.

## Radiative Lepton Mass with Dark Matter

Instead of using three Higgs doublets $\Phi_{i} \sim \underline{3}$ to obtain $U_{\omega}$ in the charged-lepton sector as in the original $A_{4}$ model of 2001, a radiative model of lepton mass is proposed. Again the fermion doublet ( $E^{0}, E^{-}$) and singlet $N$ are used, but now in conjunction of two sets of charged scalars which are also odd under dark $Z_{2}$, i.e.

$$
x_{i}^{-} \sim \underline{3}, \quad y_{i}^{-} \sim \underline{1}, \underline{1}^{\prime}, \underline{1}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

To connect $x$ with $y$, the trilinear scalar term $x_{i} y_{j}^{*} \chi_{k}$ is added, where $\chi \sim \underline{3}$ is even under $Z_{2}$ with equal $\left\langle\chi_{i}\right\rangle$.


Each lepton mass is then given by
$m_{l}=f^{\prime} f_{l} \mu_{l} u \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-m_{1 l}^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-m_{2 l}^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{m_{1} c_{R} s_{L}}{k^{2}-m_{1}^{2}}-\frac{m_{2} c_{L} s_{R}}{k^{2}-m_{2}^{2}}\right]$,
where $f^{\prime}$ is the $E_{L}^{0} l_{L} x^{*}$ Yukawa coupling, $f_{l}$ is the $N_{R} l_{R} y^{*}$ Yukawa coupling, $\mu_{l}$ is the scalar $x y^{*} \chi$ coupling, $u$ is the vacuum expectation value of $\chi_{i}$, and $m_{1 l, 2 l}$ are the mass eigenvalues of the $2 \times 2$ mass-squared matrix

$$
\mathcal{M}_{x y}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{x}^{2} & \mu_{l} u \\
\mu_{l} u & m_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\mu_{l} u=\sin \theta_{l} \cos \theta_{l}\left(m_{1 l}^{2}-m_{2 l}^{2}\right)$.

There is a one-to-one correlation of the neutrino mass eigenstates to the $s_{1,2,3}$ mass eigenstates, the lightest of which is dark matter.
It is also clear that all three neutrino masses are expected to be of the same order of magnitude and their mass-squared differences are related to the scalar mass differences. Using the most recent cosmological data

$$
\sum m_{\nu}<0.23 \mathrm{eV}
$$

the effective neutrino mass $m_{e e}$ in neutrinoless double beta decay is bounded below 0.07 eV for normal ordering and 0.08 eV for inverted ordering.
$\mathrm{Ma}(2015)$ :
The dark matter parity of this model is also derivable from lepton parity.
Under lepton parity, let the new particles $\left(E^{0}, E^{-}\right), N, \chi$ be even and $s, x, y$ be odd, then the same Lagrangian is obtained. As a result, dark parity is simply given by $(-1)^{L+2 j}$, which is odd for all the new particles and even for all the SM particles (and $\chi$ ).
Note that the tree-level Yukawa coupling $\bar{l}_{L} l_{R} \phi^{0}$ would be allowed by lepton parity alone, but is forbidden here because of the $A_{4}$ symmetry.

The radiative lepton mass matrix is diagonal because of the $Z_{3}$ residual symmetry. This means that the muon anomalous magnetic moment $\Delta a_{\mu}$ gets a significant contribution from $x y$ exchange, but not $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$. Because $\Delta a_{\mu}$ is now of order $m_{\mu}^{2} / m_{E}^{2}$ instead of the usual $\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{-1} m_{\mu}^{2} / m_{E}^{2}$, a large $m_{E} \sim 1 \mathrm{TeV}$ is possible for the explanation of the experimental-theoretical discrepancy instead of the usual $m_{E} \sim 200 \mathrm{GeV}$.

As for $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$, it will come from $s$ exchange in the analog diagram to radiative neutrino mass. For $m_{E} \sim 1$ TeV , it will be suitably suppressed.

## Conclusion

The notion that neutrino mass is radiatively induced by dark matter is a powerful indication of the scale of new physics, i.e. 1 TeV .
In this framework, the $A_{4}$ transformation $U_{\omega}$ has been used to obtain a desirable form of $U_{l \nu}$, i.e. $\theta_{23}=\pi / 4$ and $\delta_{C P}= \pm \pi / 2$, automatically if the origin of the neutrino mass matrix is a set of real scalars $s_{i} \sim \underline{3}$ under $A_{4}$.
Only one Higgs doublet with $\left\langle\phi^{0}\right\rangle$ accounting for all of electroweak symmetry breaking is required. New particles $\left(E^{0}, E^{-}\right), N, x, y, s, \chi$ are predicted.

